## APPENDIX 1

Last Review Date -03/10/22

				Corpo	orate Risk Re	gister							
Ref No.	Risk Description	Consequence	Cause		Inherent Risk		Responsibility of	Responsible to	Control Measures		Residual Risk		
				Impact	Likelihood	Rating				Impact	Likelihood	Rating	Movement of Risk
	SOCIAL/ POLITICAL/ LEGAL Death / serious harm to a vulnerable person receiving a council service and safeguarding compliance	A serious case review arising from death/serious harm to a vulnerable person. Reputational damage to council. Loss of confidence in ability of council to deliver services. Ensuring compliace with Safeguarding legislation and practise.	Lack of response to a safeguarding report. Service failure.  Modern slavery. poor safeguarding assurnace	4	3	12	Environmental Health Team Manager	Head of Community Services	The organisation has the following structures in place; A recent audit with action plan of reasonable assurance An identified Corporate Lead An identified Team responsible for Safeguarding (Safer & Stronger) with responsibility embedded into Team Leader role and an officer (Child & Adults at risk Officer)  An agreed Safeguarding Policy refreshed as required An identified group of Designated Safeguarding Officers (DSO's) in most service areas A programme of regular DSO meetings which consider training, best practice and case issues An annual training programme to ensure new DSO's are well informed and trained A quarterly senior management review of all cases to check progress/close cases Annual report to CLT and Corporate Scrutiny as required by exception. Commitment to raise awareness of the scale and extent of modern slavery in the UK and ensure our contracts and supplies don't contribute to modern day slavery and exploitation.  A computerised system of reporting and managing reports introduced in 2019, will ensure constant reminders of new cases, sending alerts at all points in the procedure.	3	1	3	Stable
	FINANCIAL/ COMMERCIAL/ REPUTATIONAL Mismanagement of council	Central Government intervention/special measures. Adverse publicity. Possible litigation. Withdrawal of services. Inability to deliver council objectives	Mis-interpreting of or not responding appropriately to a change in fiscal policy.	4	4	16	Head of Finance/s151	Strategic Director	Statutory compliance with CIFPA codes, accounting codes, and audit processes (external and internal)	4	1	4	Stable

				Corpo	orate Risk Re	gister							
Ref No.	Risk Description	Consequence	Cause		Inherent Risk		Responsibility of	Responsible to	Control Measures		Residual Risk		
	finances		Poor budget planning / management.	Impact	Likelihood	Rating			Regular management reviews monitor actual spend against budgets and forecast to the end of the year.	Impact	Likelihood	Rating	Movement of Risk
			Internal financial systems and regulations not being properly applied.						Regular reporting and challenging at CLT, and reported to Cabinet quarterly Sound policies and procedures are in place. Financial planning processes have been documented and are reviewed regularly. Internal and External audit of systems and accounts. Procurement of new finance system with increased controls and monitoring underway				
	REPUTAITONAL/ LEGAL COMMERCIAL Insufficient resources due to unplanned / unforeseen absences / vacancies / changes to financial picture	Council unable to perform its statutory duties and/or deliver objectives. Council's Partners unable to perform duties. Inflationary pressures. Use of external resources at significantly higher cost. Short / Medium Term Exposure.	Failure to horizon scan and interpret future needs in crucial roles.  Changes to income or financial climate  Inability to recruit to vacancies / retain staff globally or in spacilaist areas .  unexpected or unplanned event (eg pandemic)	4	3	12	Head of HR and OD & Head of Finance S151	Executive	Membership of CIPFA and engagement of Arling Close gives access to specialist advice, analysis and expertise.  Current and forecasted balance MTFS, although uncertanty regarding future gov funding streams and impacts of changes such as DevCo/Freeport etc  Advance planning to mitigate this risk; recent COVID expereince demonstrates NWL able to react and adjust  Ability to divert resources from other services, bringing in additional resources from other sources (e.g. Agencies, Consultants, Voluntary/ Community sector etc.) would be activated.  Market conditions are tested through recruitment processes, some challnges in some specalist areas  The Council can offer a package of additional benefits to enhance the recruitment offer.  Mitigtions in place for variety of staffing related aspects - eg mental health awareness, overall wellbeing work etc	3	2	6	Stable

				Corpo	orate Risk Re	gister							
Ref No.	Risk Description	Consequence	Cause		Inherent Risl		Responsibility of	Responsible to	Control Measures		Residual Risk		
				Impact	Likelihood	Rating				Impact	Likelihood	Rating	Movement of Risk
									The Council has developed innovative partnering relationships with other sectors including the private sector to make posts uniquely attractive.				
4	LEGAL / FINANCIAL Contracts are not properly procured and managed	Council liable to incur additional costs, contract overrun, litigation and potential health & safety issues as well as service disruptions.	Failure to monitor contractors appropriately.	3	3	9	Finance Team Manager. All Team Managers.	All Heads of Service	Best Employee Experience is a programme to attract and develop the right skills, and promoting existing staff talent through secondments and tailored development programmes inc IIP. Apprenticeships allow the Council to 'grow our own'.	2	3	6	Stable
			Legal and procurement teams not consulted when contractors are engaged.  Loss of key staff or supplier.						Oversight Board structure in place to oversee major project work & compliance group now in place to oversee these elements of contracted work.  Corporate procurement support and legal team to support where necessary on contract management.				
			Procurement procedures are not followed.  The council contributes to modern slavery via it's contracts and supplies.						Corporate procurement team re- established and charged with reviewing Procurement Strategy as Analysis of sepnd undertaken and procurement toolkit to be produced to cover majority of lower value procurments with high value and				
5	LEGAL / TECHNOLOGICAL Loss or unlawful use of personal data constituting breach of data protection legislation	Monetary penalties from ICO, adverse publicity, private litigation and personal criminal liability of officers.	Systems not in place to	3	3	9	Legal Services Team Manager	& Support	complex procurements to be supported by specialised function.  Policies and procedures are in place and rolled out. Regularly reviewed and compliance is monitored.  Corporate Governance training is undertaken annually and includes information governance as appropriate to reflect changes in legislation. E-learning module updated and rolled out as mandatory annual training for all staff.  The Council has a dedicated SIRO and DPO.  Corporate Governance Groups are in place to scrutinise impacts/issues arising.  Internal audit was carried out in December 2019. The outcome of the audit was a Grade 1. One medium risk recommendation	2	2	4	Stable

				Corp	orate Risk Re	gister							
ef No.	Risk Description	Consequence	Cause		Inherent Risk	(	Responsibility of	Responsible to	Control Measures		Residual Risk		
				Impact	Likelihood	Rating				Impact	Likelihood	Rating	Movement of Ri
	LEGAL / REPUTATIONAL / COMMERCIAL Failure to respond to an emergency in an appropriate manner	General public at risk of harm or unable to access relevant services (e.g. emergency accommodation or rest centre).	Lack of planning, training and exercising of Emergency plans	4	3	12	Head of Human Resources and Organisation Development	Chief Executive	Information Governance Team to cooperate with the supervisory authority and monitor compliance with Data Protection laws.	4	1	4	Stable
		Adverse publicity.  "Business as usual" not possible without appropriate business continuity plan in place.	Inadequate Corporate Business Continuity Management.						Business continuity plans have been documented, policies and procedures are in place.				
		Breakdown in relationship with other responders.	Lack of procedural understanding						The LRF partnership arrangement with all Leicestershire and Rutland authorities provide resilience during civil emergency situations.				
	LEGAL/ TECHNOLOGICAL/ COMMERCIAL Infiltration of ICT systems	"Business as usual" would not be possible. Cost of repelling cyber threat and enhancing security features.	Systems not in place or kept current to deflect any foreseeable cyber attack.	4	4	16	ICT Manager	Customer Services	Business Continuity exercises show the readiness of the Council to deal with emergencies. System of ICO / FLM duty rotas is in place & continued reassessment for ongoing incidents. COVID experience shows capabality and	3	2	6	Stable
			Limited staff awareness of possible threats.					1	ability to perform.  Fully resilient environment in place with no single points of failure for core systems, other critical systems use cold standby equipment.				
			Lapse in security awareness and basic processes from a technical and human perspective.						Yearly IT security health check and PEN (penetration) testing carried out, by a CREST security accredited supplier, with remediation action plan in place to mitigate any risks found.				
									Phishing campaigns ran twice a year to test staff security awareness and feed back results to CLT, with improvement plans in place for those who have not passed the test.				
									Quarterly Cyber Security awareness training held for staff and new starters, to protect staff at work and in the office.				

					orate Risk Re								
Ref No.	Risk Description	Consequence	Cause		Inherent Ris		Responsibility of	Responsible to	Control Measures		Residual Risk		
				Impact	Likelihood				New business services are run in remote fully resilient data centres and existing systems are being progressively migrated to these cloud computing centres.  Diversity of environments used to avoid single poitn of failure risk	Impact	Likelihood	Rating	Movement of Risk
8	COMMERCIAL / POLITICAL /	Failure of proposed projects could result in failure to achieve overall objectives. Inefficient use /	Failure to implement project management techniques.	3	4	12	Strategic		Latest Audit / assessments all confirm secure environment Improved business recovery arrangements have been	3	2	6	Stable
	FINANCIAL Projects are poorly managed	waste of resources.	management techniques. Poor corporate oversight of projects. Inadequate controls on expenditure and poor budget monitoring. Inadequate monitoring of external contracts. Failure to engage project management expertise when required.				Directors		implemented to minimise recovery time. Accreditation to Cyber Essentials Plus and the Public Services Network.  Greater use of professional project managers for key projects. Work ongoing to address project methodologies deployed across the council. Greater use of external / out of subject board members.				
									An annual external audit of IT assessed the organisation's IT arrangements in a range of areas against best practice. (The outcome of the audit in 2020 was, GRADE 1, with one recommendation, which has already been addressed and provides assurance that the organisation's IT arrangements are solid, sound and secure).				

				Corpo	orate Risk Re	gister							
Ref No.	Risk Description	Consequence	Cause		Inherent Risl	ζ	Responsibility of	Responsible to	Control Measures		Residual Risk		
		·		Impact	Likelihood	Rating				Impact	Likelihood	Rating	Movement of Risk
Ğ	LEGAL / POLITICAL / REPUTATIONAL Council makes ultra vires (beyond the council's powers and functions) decisions or those which it does not have the correct permissions to undertake	Potential litigation against the Council, resulting in increased costs / compensation. Reputational damage.	Staff / Members proceeding without established governance arrangements. Failure to consult with Legal / Monitoring Officer. Lack of understanding of the implications of dealing with a particular matter or conditions of service delivery.	4	3	12	Legal Services Team Manager & Service Managers		2022 audit has identified areas of weakness in controls and upon implementation of these the risk will be reduced and therefore these will continue to be monitored	4	1	4	Stable
10	FINANCIAL / LEGAL / REPUTATIONAL Council is subject to serious fraud, corruption or theft	Financial, reputational and political damage to Council.	Lack of checks and balances within financial regulations.  Poor budget / contract management.  Poor monitoring of / adherence to financial systems	4	3	12	Head of Finance. All Team Managers & Heads of Service.		Properly convened project teams with PID and project plan in place, including project risk registers.  Progress on corporate projects scrutinised by CLT. Implementation of contract management framework for outsourced services.  Scrutiny of quarterly monitoring reports on capital expenditure.  Utilising Internal Audit to conduct audits of individual projects or Project management more widely.  Use of external resources to be used to support the Coalville and Leisure projects.  Scrutiny of  Policies & procedures in place, governance processes are documented and in operation, ongoing assessments and reviews are performed. Completion of the Annual Governance statement.	3	2	6	Stable
			Changes in working practises casuing unintended risk/exposure						A policy framework that includes Anti-Fraud and Corruption Policy, Confidential Reporting (Whistleblowing) Policy and Anti- Money Laundering Policy. Policy Refreshed late 2020 - refresh of training underway. The Internal Audit annual planning process takes into account high risk areas, which considers fraud risks. Fraud risks are considered as part of specific audits with testing designed to detect fraud where possible. The Council is also subject to Internal control and governance arrangements such as segregation of duties, schemes of delegation, bank reconciliations of fund movements, and verification processes.				

				Corpo	orate Risk Re	gister							
Ref No.	Risk Description	Consequence	Cause	I	Inherent Ris		Responsibility of	Responsible to	Control Measures		Residual Risk		
				Impact	Likelihood	Rating				Impact	Likelihood	Rating	Movement of Risk
									Participation and strengthening of involvement in National Fraud Initiative (mandatory) Information on how to report fraud is on the website including relevant links.  Leicestershire Revenues and Benefits Partnership have two trained officers working solely on Council Tax Reduction Scheme Fraud and act as Single Point of Contact for DWP referrals.				
	1 FINANCIAL / COMMERCIAL / ECONOMIC The Council is subject to a reduction in income long term	Services are unable to be delivered. Potential staff redundancies.  Funding of external groups is withdrawn.  Potential breach of statutory duties/ability to delvier objectives compromised	Gov plans reduction in business rates share to NWL. Changes to the local authority financial settlement. Economic downturn / recession. Commercial opportunities not progressed. Changing rent policies.	4	4	16	Head of Finance. All Heads of Service.	Directors. Chief Executive.	Medium Term Financial Strategy in place, and will be reviewed at key events. Change in budgeting focus from incremental to outcomes focussed expected to identify quick wins and pland for longer term self-sufficiency. Head of Finance monitoring of Local Government funding reviews. Funding advisor engaged. Economic Development Team promotes business offer. Participation in Business Rates Pooling. Accessing external funding where appropriate. Income collection procedures in Revs & Bens Service and Housing sound.	2	3	6	Stable

			Corpo	orate Risk Re	gister							
Ref No. Risk Description	Consequence	Cause		Inherent Risl		Responsibility of	Responsible to	Control Measures		Residual Risk		
			Impact	Likelihood	Rating				Impact	Likelihood	Rating	Movement of Risk
12 POLITICAL / ORGANISATIONAL The Council is affected by Local Government Reorganisation	a) Change to Local Government structure in Leicestershire/East Midlands, including potential merger of district councils/county council or development of a Combined Authority for the East Midlands, or elected Major either of which could lead to: - Change in location for service delivery/staff - Reduction of control over local matters - Change in financial situation - Staff redundancies - Alternative political structure and governance arrangements - Changes in services to be provided and organisation culture - Deterioration in staff morale and negative effect on staff recruitment and retention - Ineffective engagement with staff, Members and residents in considering, and responding to, proposals Diversion of senior staff resources to respond to	Political direction to consolidate local government tiers to potentially seek greater efficiency and coordination	4	3	12	Chief Executive and Head of Legal and Support Services.	Chief Executive	Active engagement with political leaders and Chief Executives across the County and East Midlands so NWL's needs are taken into account in any proposals.  Open and transparent communication of NWL position to all stakeholders. Senior management and politicians stay close to project and monitor progress.  Internal and external communication plans in place, including for key decision points.  Gov stance changed to no longer pursue wholesale LGR - County Deals not linked to LGR.  Leicestershire Cat 2 County Deal	1 1	3	3	Movement of Risk Stable
13 POLITICAL / ORGANISATIONAL The Council is affected by the UK's departure from th EU	The UK's departure from the EU, leads to impacts on supply of goods, staff, services generally. Specifically increase in checks on goods by Environmental Officers at East Midlands Airport meaning increase in resources / costs.	UK departure from EU/Brexit	4	4	16	Chief Executive and Head of Economic Regeneration & Team Manager for Environmental Health	Director & Chief	Engage with National Local Authority steering groups for border control at strategic & operational levels. Implement communication strategy for local businesses so technical notices are shared, with appropriate signposting. Work with LLEP and Chamber of Commerce to provide business advice and support to address changes to legislation & certification. Watching brief localised assessment of potential impact around East Midlands Airport. Participate in Multi-agency Leicestershire Resilience Forum framework, with risk assessment and mitigation plan to be prepared. Applied for and gainted additional support funding for Port activity	2	2	4	Stable

				Corpo	orate Risk Re	gister							
Ref No.	Risk Description	Consequence	Cause		nherent Risk	(	Responsibility of	Responsible to	Control Measures		Residual Risk		
				Impact	Likelihood	Rating				Impact	Likelihood	Rating	Movement of Risk
14	ORGANISATIONAL/FINANCI	Financial, reputational and political damage to	Pandemic, national/global	4	4	16	Chief Executive,	Chief Executive Balance	ced budget achieved with	2	2	4	Stable
	AL Council is subject to large	Council.	infrastructure interruption,				Directors, Heads of	f addito	onal government grant				
	scale and medium term		supply chain mass failure				Service	suppo	ort. Continued active				
	reduction in		over medium / long time					engagi	ment and lobbying to ensure				
	staffing/supplies/increase in		period					that al	ll options for support are				
	restrictions etc leading to							consid	dered and actioned where				
	risks and ongoing							possib	ole. COVID 19 expereince has				
	medium/long term impacts							led to	myriad of changes to make				
	on either the financial or							service	e provision more robust .				
	reputational standing of the							Furthe	er mitigations for other similar				
	Council							events	s in palce - eg promotion of				
								vacina	ations, system readiness and				
								dempl	loyment remotely, updating				
								Busine	ess Continuity plans etc				
									_				

				Corporate Risk Register							
Ref No.	Risk Description	Consequence	Cause	Inherent Risk	Responsibility of Responsibility	ponsible to	Control Measures		Residual Risk		
				Impact Likelihood Rating				Impact	Likelihood	Rating	Movement of Risk
	Assessing the likelihood of a	risk:	_					i			
	1 104	Likely to accur ance in every ten years or more	Ī								

1	Low	Likely to occur once in every ten years or more
2	Medium	Likely to occur once in every two to three years
3	High	Likely to occur once a year
4	Very high	Likely to occur at least twice in a year

1 1000	Loss of a convice for up to and dov
1 Low	Loss of a service for up to one day,
	Objectives of individuals are not met No injuries
	Financial loss below £10,000
	No media attention
	No breaches in council working practices
	No complaints / litigation
2 Medium	Loss of a service for up to one week with limited
	impact on the general public
	Service objectives of a service unit are not met
	Injury to an employee or member of the public
	requiring medical treatment
	Financial loss over £10,000
	Adverse regional or local media attention –
	televised or newspaper report
	Potential for a complaint litigation possible
	Breaches of regulations / standards
3 High	Loss of a critical service for one week or more with
	significant impact on the public and partner
	organisations
	Service objectives of the directorate of a critical
	nature are not met
	Non- statutory duties are not achieved
	Permanent injury to an employee or member of
	the public
	Financial loss over £100,000
	Adverse national or regional media attention –
	national newspaper report
	Litigation to be expected
	Breaches of law punishable by fine
4 Very high	An incident so severe in its effects that a critical
	service or project will be unavailable permanently
	Strategic priorities of a critical nature are not met
	Statutory duties are not achieved
	Death of an employee or member of the public
	Financial loss over £1m.
	Adverse national media attention – national
	televised news report
	Litigation almost certain and difficult to defend
	Breaches of law punishable by imprisonment